

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,003	11/06/2001	Richard Allen Rosenbloom	QUIG-1006US	5759
21302 75	90 09/30/2003			
KNOBLE & YOSHIDA EIGHT PENN CENTER SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER	
			HUI, SAN MING R	
PHILADELPHI	IA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	12
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	1~

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/993,003	ROSENBLOOM, RICHARD ALLEN			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	San-ming Hui	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this into event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officiently filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	tion(s): <u>35 USC 112, first paragr</u>	aphs and 35 USC 103(a)			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.		·			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		,			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	—· √/ ^			
10. Other:		Hadimull			
		SREENI PADMANABHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the outstanding double patenting rejection has no been addressed. the filing of terminal disclaimer will put the case into condition of allowance.